

Requirements for PFAS Clean Up and Safe Handling

FΔ

Polyfluoroalky

Forever

Chemico

e

Effects

Toxic

and

Carcinogenic

September 28, 2023

NAEM: Your Professional Community Get the solutions you need to make an impact!

Benchmark	Benchmark your programs via events, online learning, research and executive networks
Learn	Learn tools & techniques to execute your strategy and be inspired by peers
Build	Build a network of peers whose experience can help you solve your challenges

Delivering Knowledge & Professional Wisdom

Peer Forums



Research Insights



Targeted Networking



Actionable strategies to empower EHS&S leaders to make an impact



NAEM Connects EHS & Sustainability Leaders

130 Corporate Members





Reaching a community of Practice



September 28, 2023

Requirements for PFAS Clean Up and Safe Handling

Eric Hoffmann Nova Group, GBC Keith Savel Triumvirate Environmental





Agenda

Introduction

- $\circ\,$ What Are PFAS?
- $\,\circ\,$ History and Usage
- Bioaccumulation and Prevalence

Remediation of PFAS Contaminated Sites

- Evaluation: Is Sampling Required at My Site?
- $\,\circ\,$ Site Remediation Standards
- Sampling and Site Characterization
- Remediation Technologies

Disposal of PFAS Contaminated MaterialCase Studies





Introduction

- PFAS stands for per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances.
- Complex family of more than 3,000 man-made fluorinated organic chemicals.
- Unique ability to repel oil and water; used in nonstick cookware, Tyvek, and aqueous film-forming foam (AFFF) to fight fires.



Source: https://pfas-1.itrcweb.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/pfas_fact_sheet_history_and_use__11_13_17.pdf

RIUMVIRAT

ENVIRONMENTAL



Physical/Chemical Properties

- PFAS molecules have a chain of linked carbon and fluorine atoms. Because the carbon-fluorine bond is one of the strongest, these chemicals do not degrade easily in the environment.
- PFAS are either polymer or non-polymer; the ones of interest are non-polymer because they are more dangerous.
- PFAS are encountered in gases, liquids, and high molecular weight solids.



Source: https://pfas-1.itrcweb.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/pfas_fact_sheet_naming_conventions_3_16_18.pdf





History of PFAS

- ✤ 1938 DuPont discovered PTFE while working on fluorocarbons for refrigerants.
- ✤ 1945 DuPont commercialized PTFE as Teflon.
- ✤ 1950s 3M started manufacturing PFAS.
- ✤ 1960s 3M and the US Navy began research on AFFF and in 1967, the US Navy patented AFFF.
- 1970s First studies identified PFAS in human blood and fish.
- ✤ 1998 EPA issued first alert of potential danger of PFAS.
- 2000 Study by American Chemical Society indicated PFOS was widespread in the environment and can bioaccumulate.
- ✤ 2002 3M ceased production of PFOS.
- ✤ 2015 DuPont ceased production of PFOA.
- 2016 EPA issued Lifetime Health Advisory for two of the most widely detected PFAAs (PFOA and PFOS) at 70 ppt.
- ✤ 2021 EPA announced strategic roadmap for PFAS regulations.



History of Use

While PFOA and PFOS have been phased out from their use in commercial products, they are still found in the environment from historical uses.

PFAS can be found in everyday products, such as:

- Fire-fighting foam
- Cleaning products
- Water-resistant fabrics, such as rain jackets, umbrellas, and tents
- Grease-resistant paper
- Nonstick cookware
- Personal care products, like shampoo, dental floss, nail polish, and eye makeup
- Stain-resistant coatings used on carpets, upholstery, and other fabrics



Bioaccumulation & Prevalence

Many PFAS, including perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), are a concern because they:

- Do not break down in the environment
- Can move through soils and contaminate drinking water sources
- Build up (bioaccumulate) in fish and wildlife
- Persist in the environment and exposure in people can occur by consuming PFAS-contaminated water or food; exposure may happen by using products that contain PFAS

Because PFAS are highly persistent in the environment and do not break down easily, PFAS have become widespread contaminants, including in drinking water sources. The health risks and impacts of PFAS exposure and bioaccumulation are a growing concern.





Health Effects of PFAS Exposure







Source: https://www.whmi.com/news/article/pfas-presentation-huron-river-watershed-councilr

PFAS Cycle

- PFAS are a complex family of more than 3,000 man-made fluorinated organic chemicals
- The PFAS cycle shows how PFAS enter our environment and how humans are affected.
- PFAS are important to understand because they're contaminants of emerging concern and their regulatory standards are continuing to evolve due to new science.





Regulations & Guidance

Federal Regulations and Standards

- EPA's PFAS Strategic Roadmap originally proposed drinking water Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) for PFAS and POAS.
- March 2023: EPA proposes PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation, proposing standards for 6 PFAS compounds.
- ✤ May 4, 2023: Public hearing was conducted.

Compound	Proposed MCLG	Proposed MCL				
PFOA	0 ppt	4.0 ppt				
PFOS	0 ppt	4.0 ppt				
PFNA		1.0 (unitless) Hazard Index				
PFHxS	1.0 (unitless)					
PFBS	Index					
HFPO-DA (GenX)	macx					
Hazard Index is utilized to evaluate the potential risk from a mixture of chemicals.						





Regulations & Guidance

State Regulations and Standards

 21 states have soil and/or groundwater standards (as of July 2023)



Source: https://www.bclplaw.com/en-US/events-insights-news/pfas-update-state-soil-concentration-regulations-july-2023.html the source of the state-source of the source of the source





When to sample?

State regulations may trigger an environmental investigation.

Before sampling conduct an evaluation:

- Review current and historic chemical inventories.
- Review operations and operational areas compare operations to list of known PFAS industries (Interstate Technology Regulatory Council (ITRC) or some states have created lists).
- Review history of known releases at the site.
- If the evaluation concludes that PFAS was not used on site or there was no release of PFAS, that will likely conclude the investigation.



- Sample for PFAS first and store in separately sealed plastic bags away from other sample parameters.
- Sampling personnel shouldn't wear PPE containing PFAS, including Gore-Tex, Tyvek, or water/stain resistant materials.
- Need thorough QA/QC program for sampling; not all PFAS are hydrophilic so some may sorb to sampling equipment.
- Containers should be specified in analytical method and provided by the lab and certified PFAS-free, typically polypropylene or highdensity polyethylene bottles.



Source: https://www.limno.com/sampling-for-pfas-requires-caution/



Source: https://www.limno.com/pfas-emerging-but-not-new



- Analytical detection method preferred is LC/MS/MS (liquid chromatography mass spectrometry mass spectrometry), but GC/MS (gas chromatography mass spectrometry) can also be used.
- EPA Method 537 Version 1.1 for lab analysis is when the USDOD attempted to standardize these lab modifications.
- Draft EPA Method 1633 is replacing Method 537 for soil and groundwater.
- Low flow sampling with bladder pump with polyethylene bladder and tubing. Grab sampling (bailer, passive diffusion).



Source: http://www.mgs.co.uk/pumps-groundwater/sampling/138/bailers.html





Reviewing Potential Background Sources:



Source: https://geonarrative.usgs.gov/pfasustapwater/

Source: https://www.ewg.org/interactive-maps/pfas_contamination/map/

RIUMVIRATE Environmental



- Data validation
 - Confirm QAQC procedures during sampling.
- Evaluate conceptual site model
 - Does plume geometry match expected groundwater flow direction and velocity?
 - Does core of plume align with potential areas of concern?
- Evaluate potential regional impact in groundwater

Area of concern Sample Identifier Laboratory Identifier Sample Date Top of Casing (fmsl) Depth to Water (feet below inner casing) Depth to Product (feet below inner casing) Ground Water Elevation (fsml)	NJDEP Ground Water Quality Standard ¹	SB-35/TWP-6 L2137872-01 7/14/2021		EB-20210714 L2137872-02 7/14/2021			
		Result	Q	RL	Result	Q	RL
1,4-Dioxane	0.4	-	-		-		-
1H,1H,2H,2H-Perfluorodecanesulfonic Acid (8:2FTS)	NS	ND	(0.00186	ND		0.00216
1H,1H,2H,2H-Perfluorohexanesulfonic Acid (4:2FTS)	NS	ND	(0.00186	ND		0.00216
1H,1H,2H,2H-Perfluorooctanesulfonic Acid (6:2FTS)	NS	ND	(0.00186	ND		0.00216
N-Ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic Acid (NEtFOSAA)	NS	ND	(0.00186	ND		0.00216
N-Methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic Acid (NMeFOSAA)	NS	ND	(0.00186	ND		0.00216
Perfluorobutanesulfonic Acid (PFBS)	NS	0.00256	(0.00186	ND		0.00216
Perfluorobutanoic Acid (PFBA)	NS	0.0239	(0.00186	0.29		0.00216
Perfluorodecanesulfonic Acid (PFDS)	NS	ND	(0.00186	ND		0.00216
Perfluorodecanoic Acid (PFDA)	NS	0.0063	(0.00186	0.011		0.00216
Perfluorododecanoic Acid (PFDoA)	NS	0.0019	(0.00186	0.0102		0.00216
Perfluoroheptanesulfonic Acid (PFHpS)	NS	ND	(0.00186	ND		0.00216
Perfluoroheptanoic Acid (PFHpA)	NS	0.00796	(0.00186	0.0471		0.00216
Perfluorohexanesulfonic Acid (PFHxS)	NS	0.00197	(0.00186	ND		0.00216
Perfluorohexanoic Acid (PFHxA)	NS	0.0183	(0.00186	0.036		0.00216
Perfluorononanesulfonic Acid (PFNS)	NS	ND	(0.00186	ND		0.00216
Perfluorononanoic Acid (PFNA)	0.013	0.00492	(0.00186	0.0217		0.00216
Perfluorooctanesulfonamide (FOSA)	NS	ND	(0.00179	ND		0.00216
Perfluorooctanesulfonic Acid (PFOS)	0.013	0.0121	(0.00186	ND		0.00216
Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA)	0.014	0.0232	(0.00186	0.0152		0.00216
Perfluoropentanesulfonic Acid (PFPeS)	NS	ND	(0.00186	ND		0.00216
Perfluoropentanoic Acid (PFPeA)	NS	0.0276	(0.00186	0.344		0.00216
Perfluorotetradecanoic Acid (PFTA)	NS	0.00085	J	0.00186	0.00657		0.00216
Perfluorotridecanoic Acid (PFTrDA)	NS	0.00177	J (0.00186	0.0123		0.00216
Perfluoroundecanoic Acid (PFUnA)	NS	0.00422	(0.00186	0.0207		0.00216

Note: Sample collected with a Teflon bailer.





Remediation Technologies/Methods

- Factors affecting remedy selection include characteristics of PFAS, changes in PFAS properties, and community acceptance.
- Remediation technologies are described as either demonstrated technologies, partially demonstrated technologies, or promising technologies.
- Demonstrated technologies for soil remediation:
 - Excavation and disposal
 - Engineering controls capping
 - Thermal Conduction Heating treatment has been demonstrated by a few technology vendors



Source: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-04/documents/a_citizens_guide_to_capping.pdf



Remediation Technologies/Methods

Ex situ groundwater remediation technologies:

- ✤ Activated carbon media
- Coagulation/flocculation
- Membrane filtration (nanofiltration)
- ✤ Reverse osmosis
- ✤ Ion exchange
- Clay minerals
- Polymer-coated sand
- ✤ Biochar



Source: http://www.h2ktech.com/carbon-vessels/liquid-phase-carbon-vessels.html





Remediation Technologies/Methods

In situ remediation technologies (promising technologies):

- Colloidal activated carbon
- Chemical oxidation
 - Ozone and hydrogen peroxide injections
 - Catalyzed hydrogen peroxide
 - Activated persulfate
- Solidification/Stabilization
- Sonochemical oxidation



Source: https://theenergycollective.com/smithwillas/2386972/5-green-technologies-to-accomplish-environmental-remediation

RIUMVIRAT

NVIRONMENTAL



Disposal of PFAS Material Regulations & Guidance

- Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) doesn't list PFAS as hazardous substances; instead addressed as pollutants/contaminants.
- PFAS are not currently regulated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), the Clean Water Act, or the Clean Air Act.
- ✤ PFAS disposal is not regulated by TSCA.



Source: https://www.lion.com/lion-news/april-2016/ceo-convicted-for-superfund-superfraud



Disposal of PFAS Material

There aren't federal regulations on PFAS disposal— yet. In the meantime, and with regulations on the horizon, there are sound business reasons to move toward best practices as quickly as possible, to be ready for regulations when they arrive.

The EPA recommends three methods for PFAS-contaminated material as follows:

- ✤ Incineration
- ✤ Landfill
- ✤ Deep well injection







Incineration

PFAS destruction through high-temperature breakdown via incineration

- Utilize a RCRA Licensed Hazardous Waste Incinerator that operates at a minimum of 2012°F with significant air pollution control equipment, such as exhaust gas scrubbers
- Can be used for both liquid and solid material
- Excellent PFAS destruction and lower liability for generators
- Typically, high cost



Landfill

PFAS disposal via placement into a landfill

- Utilize a RCRA Part B permitted controlled landfill that protects against leaching into the environment
- Can be used only for solid material
- Not a PFAS destruction process, so a higher liability for generators
- Typically, lower cost





Deep Well Injection

PFAS disposal via placement into a deep well

- Use a facility that operates under the existing federal protocols for hazardous substance disposal in deep wells, which include stipulations to ensure the chemicals cannot migrate from the geological formation used for disposal
- Can be used only for liquid material
- Not a PFAS destruction process, but an excellent system to minimize the discharge of PFAS chemicals; this a moderate liability for generators

Typically, lower cost



Proposed PFAS Regulations

EPA's PFAS Roadmap – 2021

- Review existing PFAS under TSCA to ensure existing PFAS are being used in ways that do not present concerns, and to prevent resumed production of legacy PFAS or their use in new ways.
- Propose to designate certain PFAS as CERCLA hazardous substances to require reporting of PFOA and PFAS releases, enhance the availability of data, and ensure agencies can recover cleanup costs.
- ✤ Initiate two rulemakings under RCRA to address PFAS.







Case Study 1: Remediation Site in New Jersey

Large industrial site with 20-year history of investigation and remediation for CVOC, metals, EPH, and PBCs.

In 2020, NJDEP published PFAS standards and investigation was required.

- Conducted an PFAS evaluation:
 - Historic metal plating operation potentially utilized PFAS, no confirmed documentation of PFAS usage
 - Trenching associated with plating operations had a documented release



Case Study 1: Remediation Site in New Jersey

- Based on the evaluation, sampling was required.
- Sampling was conducted in the potential source area and impact was identified in groundwater (only a GW standard at the time).
- Reviewed CSM and potential background sources and a background investigation was recommended and implemented.
- Upgradient monitoring wells confirmed CSM and an unknown upgradient plume was identified.



Case Study 1: Remediation Site in New Jersey



Initial Investigation

Nova

roup





28

Case Study 1: Remediation Site in New Jersey

Background Investigation



RIUMVIRATE

ENVIRONMENTAL



Case Study 2: Fair Lawn Well Field Superfund Site

- ✤ In 1983, EPA identifies Westmoreland well field as NPL Superfund Site.
- Impact on well field associated with volatile organic compounds.
- ✤ Area consists of 15 square miles.
- PFAS were sampled at the wells (April 2021) and PFAS were identified as a contaminant of concern.
- Nova's client is within the footprint of the Superfund Site and an investigation is required.





Case Study 2: Fair Lawn Well Field Superfund Site

✤ Nova conducted an initial PFAS evaluation

- Potential PFAS use during former operation
- Known areas of historic releases, predominantly VOCs
- Sampling was recommended
- Development of sampling plan
 - Identify areas of concern that potentially contained PFAS
 - Review historic remediation conducted and redevelopment of the site
 - Conduct soil investigation to determine if PFAS is a contaminant of concern



Case Study 2: Fair Lawn Well Field Superfund Site

Conclusions

- For sites with multiple responsible parties, understanding your potential contribution is critical.
- Narrow your investigation to specific AOCs that potentially contain PFAS.





Thank you!

Eric Hoffmann eric.hoffmann@novagroupgbc.com



Associate Nova Group, GBC

Keith Savel ksavel@triumvirate.com



VP, Environmental Remediation Support Services Triumvirate Environmental







FORUM 23 EHS & Sustainability Management Forum

CLEVELAND, OHIO OCTOBER 24-27, 2023



Hilton Cleveland Downtown

DISCOUNTED HOTEL ROOM RATES

-- SEPT. 29TH --

Ron Garan NASA Astronaut, Fighter Pilot, Social Entrepreneur

AMAZING KEYNOTES



Kim Hires

Leadership Burnout Coach, Speaker, Author, host of the Leadership Antidote Podcast

VALUABLE PEER LEARNING

Climate & Sustainability Mgmt.	Driving Transparency & Accountability	EHS&S Innovations & Emerging Issues	Leadership & Talent Development
Developing a Credible Net Zero Roadmap	Running Effective Audit and Corrective Action Programs	Taking an Expanded View of Worker Health to Drive Safety Culture	Effective Presentation Skills for Your Senior Executives & Board
Water & Biodiversity: Addressing Critical Issues	Leveraging Data Visualization to Enable Change	The Latest In Fleet Safety & Sustainability	Strategies for Creating Successful Partnerships with Consultants
Establishing A Supply Chain Sustainability Program	Preparing your company for new ESG Reporting Requirements	Innovations in PPE to Drive Improved Safety in Different Work Environments	Developing EHS skills in Non- EHS Professionals
Climate Risk Assessments & Scenario Planning	Ethics and Transparency in ESG	Critical Information On Emerging Chemical Risks and Regulations	Designing Organizations to address ESG, Sustainability and EHS
Corporate Action on Environmental Social Justice			



Get Your Copy Today!

- Based on almost 1,700 EHS&S professionals
- Benchmark your salary, gain insight into your earnings potential as you advance in your career
- Benchmark salaries to build competitive compensation packages to attract new talent, establish future staffing budgets
 - FREE if you participated in the Survey
 - \$225 to Purchase

2023 EHS & Sustainability Salary Survey





Upcoming Webinars

 Severe Risks of Climate Change on Worker Health

Thursday, November 9th



Knowledge Sharing by NAEM Affiliates

Webinars are always:

- Free to NAEM members
- Scheduled at 2:00PM ET
- Archived and Accessible on Demand



F





Member Drop-Ins

Just-in-Time Knowledge Sharing

Our Next Drop-in for 2023

November 16th



- Q1: Sustainability Updates & Takeaways from COP27
- Q2: Advancing Zero-Waste and the Circular Economy Efforts
- Q3: Tools and Strategies to Accelerate your Climate Action Plan

Q4: Preparing for 2024 ESG-Sustainability Reporting December 7th

• Offering Session as a Hybrid!



Contact <u>Programs@naem.org</u> if you'd like to host a Regional IMPACT event

F

•2024 EHS&S Staffing, Structure and Budget

To begin fielding this year in Q4



Connect with NAEM!

- Online: <u>www.naem.org</u>
- •Via email: programs@naem.org
- Social media:
 - Twitter: <u>@NAEMorg</u>
 - Facebook: <u>www.facebook.com/NAEM.org</u>
 - LinkedIn: https://www.linkedin.com/company/naem



Thank you for Attending!

A recording will be available in 3-4 days

You will receive an email once it's posted to our site

> Have a safe, healthy and environmentally friendly day!